Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/672,236	CHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LILIAN VO	2195
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment</u>	
(1) <u>LILIAN VO</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Casey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>19 June 2008</u>	Time: <u>10:30AM</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 16, 19, 36, 39 and abstract		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(F	appricand appricant s representati	



Application No. 10/672,236

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney Mr. Michael Casey authorized an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Casey also authorized an examiner's amendment to adjust the length of the abstract during a telephone interview on 6/25/08 at 3pm.